

Censure Response November 9, 2020

Censure: Section 8. pg 9. STNC Bylaws 06292020:

The purpose of the censure process is to place a Board member on notice of misconduct and to provide the Board member with an opportunity to correct the misconduct.

The following is my response to the censure allegations.

STNC Agenda November 9, 2020 — **Item #6**

**Item #1 - Response:**

*These administrative changes have been discussed and corrected since September 2020.*

Our Parliamentarian made it known to all the board that he would be advising us on better language and agenda organization to use in order to make our agendas more clear and transparent. I have always had access to the agenda and it was common practice between the secretary and myself to modify the agenda for typos or/and to select the contents of my President's Comments. The Secretary nor any member of the Executive Committee ever gave me any indication that this was inappropriate prior to the censure motion.

As to the example given, by Ms. Grant, The Bylaws had already been discussed at our July 8, 2020 Board Meeting and the item was redundant so I removed it. Our STNC Bylaws had been approved early by DONE and I made a personal recognition and thanked every Bylaws Committee member personally for helping getting the bylaws done so quickly on July 8, 2020.

**Cont. Response:** The Elections and NNO items were on the President's Report section of the agenda. I am the President and should have a say on what I am going to report on. There is no violation. Please be aware that I could not be present at the EC meeting on July 29 and could not make that change then. Elections were announced during my Presidents Report and The NNO announcement was moved to item #11- H) under Outreach & Community Improvement Committee

**Item #2 - Response:**

Please see screenshot following this response of the correspondence that I had with Mr. Swart as evidence (Pic1, Pic2, Pic3, Pic4, Pic5)...

Mr. Swart emailed me on June 17, 2020 and did not ask for this item to be agonized. I responded to Mr. Swart's inquiry on June 22, 2020 after seeking information from the secretary and our council office. As seen in my response the motion had already been adopted by the City Council on June 17, the day Mr. Swart had submitted his email. I did respond with the information that I had received from CD7. At the next EC meeting I referred the matter to be moved to the Community Improvement Committee for further review.

I take great offense at the uncorroborated allegations that are outlined in regards to this suggested violation. It states that "I went to great lengths to stop both of these similar motions regarding stopping the Defunding of the LAPD due to my political opinions." This is based on conclusions and is intended to embarrass and humiliate me on a personal level.

# Arleta NC Position on DEFEND LAPD

Inbox x



**Roger Swart** <rocks013ca@gmail.com>


Jun 17, 2020, 7:12 AM

to me, Nancy, Robin, Nancy, Sherry, Myrna, Jerry, Sevada, Hrant, Julie, Sonia, Shoc

What position has our STNC taken to support Defend The LAPD, not DeFund?

Time is critical with the meetings going on now with our City Council?

**Roger Rock Swart**  
**CPAB MEMBER**  
**Community Safety Advocate**



**Georgia Brown** <sweetgb@verizon.net>

Jun 17, 2020, 7:48 AM

to Roger, me, Nancy, Robin, Nancy, Sherry, Myrna, Jerry, Sevada, Hrant, Julie, Soni

You really need to ask? 🤔

Sent from my iPhone



> <FB\_IMG\_1592360466010.jpg>



**Georgia Brown** <sweetgb@verizon.net>

Jun 17, 2020, 9:15 AM

to Roger, me, Nancy, Robin, Nancy, Sherry, Myrna, Jerry, Sevada, Hrant, Julie, Sonia

Lilly thinks LAPD are assigned to protect her at STNC meeting.

Sent from my iPhone



**Liliana Sanchez** <stnc.president2019@gmail.co...>

Jun 17, 2020, 9:30 PM

to Cindy ▾


Cindy,

Looking for your input on handling this before I respond?

FYI, Will be considering this CIS. Do you happen to know the deadline?

We'd also need pro and con speakers. Wanted your opinion on this before I do a will respond once I get more information and the CIS will be in favor not against.

Liliana



**Cindy Cleghorn** <stnc.secretary2019@gmail.com>

Jun 18, 2020, 1:28 PM

to me ▾



**Liliana Sanchez** <stnc.president2019@gmail.com>  
to Cindy ▾

Jun 18, 2020, 1:31 PM

Hi Cindy,

The town hall with Lydia I asked for was for 5G not this CF. I will contact CD7 where we are.

Liliana Sanchez



**Liliana Sanchez** <stnc.president2019@gmail.com>  
to Roger ▾

Jun 22, 2020, 10:02 AM

Good morning Mr. Roger Swart,

Any action the STNC takes has to go through the board for a vote and our next meeting is on Wed. July 8, 2020.

I suggest contacting your Councilmember Monica Rodriguez for immediate attention with your concerns.

I put in a call and waited for a response from our representative Eve Sinclair but she did not respond on Friday 6/19. I did, however, find out from other staff that this motion was adopted.

Thank you.

Liliana Sanchez  
STNC President



# Executive Board Zoom Meeting July 1st Inbox



**Roger Swart** <rocks013ca@gmail.com>

to me, Cindy, Sandy, Lydia, Nina ▾

Dear Executive Board Members,

Thank you for allowing me time at your meeting on July 1st to address your

I am requesting that the STNC oppose the pending action of the Mayor and \$150,000,000.

I ask that STNC DeFend The LAPD and send a letter opposing such action

Thank You!

Roger Rock Swart  
CPAB MEMBER  
ST Stakeholder since 1959

Will  
do.

Will do, thank you.

Thank you!

---

↩ Reply

↩ Reply all

➡ Forward

# STNC - Contact Form Inbox x



**rocks013ca@gmail.com** via [thewebcorner.com](https://thewebcorner.com)  
to me ▾



## SUNLAND-TUJUN NEIGHBORHOOD C

### **Agenda Request Form**

First Name: Roger

Last Name: Swart

Email: [rocks013ca@gmail.com](mailto:rocks013ca@gmail.com)

Request Title: Stakeholder

Committee: Executive Committee

Agenda Motion Request: Based on the present position/motion of The Mayor's Office to allocate \$150 million in the budget of 2020-2021 I request our STNC to support the

**Uploaded Documents:**

[I approve this request.](#)

[Approved.](#)

[Thank you!](#)

**Item #3 - Response:**

This item was never a violation in fact the board voted and passed this Motion at our STNC meeting, August 12, 2020, **Item 10 C. Motion:** *Add signature Duties to the STNC Standing Rules that in the event the President is unable or unwilling to sign that the First Vice President, Second Vice President or Treasurer shall sign in that order on behalf of the STNC.*

**Item #4 - Response:**

The STNC currently has an AGENDA REQUEST FORM through our stnc.org website where stakeholders can submit agenda items to any committee. Administrative organization had to be set to better service our stakeholders and our committees. And yes, I thank our Parliamentarian for his advice on these administrative changes which seem to be working smoothly.

**Item #5 - Response:**

This is a libelous statement on behalf of Ms. Grant. It is always recommended that we have Pro and Con speakers in order to get both opinions on particular motions. This similar action was decided by the board on 9/11/19 - agenda item #17 and on 10/9/19 - agenda item #17 The following Motion was declined twice at two consecutive STNC meeting and the decision by the board was that the motion NOT be heard because we did not have speakers on both sides of the item: [RC] DISCUSSION/POSSIBLE ACTION: To submit a Community Impact Statement re CF 19-0002-S157 Medicare for All.

**Item #6 - Response:**

This action has been corrected.

**Item #7 - Response:**

This is a libelous statement on behalf of Ms. Grant. I temporarily attended the EC meeting on July 29, 2020 in my car on my cell phone because the extreme heat we were experiencing in ST had created problems with my



wifi at home. I excused myself to the Executive Committee members and apologized for not being able to hold a meeting in a more professional setting. It was very uncomfortable sitting in my car under such high heat while loud traffic drove past me.

**Item #8 - Response:**

These are libelous statements on behalf of Ms. Grant. Non of the accusations happened during any STNC meeting.

The “evidence” she provided of the “crude political video” was shared to Facebook through Instant Message to my “friends” Nina Royal and Cindy Cleghorn. This was NOT STNC business. Nina Royal had been attending the Trump support protests and she skipped this particular event. If the video caused any disgust it should have been addressed immediately and I would’ve not share anything else. Cindy and Nina were actually friendly with me and I felt comfortable to correspond with both of them on social media as friends.

Thank you.

Liliana Sanchez  
STNC President